Dutse Business Review (DBR): Volume 1, Issue 1 (2026) *ISSN Print: 3156-4062* *eISSN:3156-4852*

HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, EMPLOYEE WELFARE, AND INDUSTRIAL STABILITY IN NIGERIA: A QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

Corresponding Author: [1]Emmanuel Olaniyi **DUNMADE,** PhD,
Dept. of Industrial Relations & Personnel Management, University of Ilorin, Nigeria
Email: dunmade.eo@unilorin.edu.ng
G.S.M: +2348035159320

[2] Iyiola Tomilayo **AKINDELE,** PhD
Dept. of Public – Administration, University of Ilorin, Nigeria

[3]Funminiyi Jonathan **ADEGOKE**, PhD,
Department of Business – Administration, University of Ilesa, Ilesa, Nigeria

[4] Bolanle Mistura **SANUSI**, PhD,
Business Administration Dept., Osun State University, Okuku, Nigeria

[5]Abiodun Peter **ABOGUNRIN,** PhD,
Dept. of Industrial Relations & Personnel Management, University of Ilorin, Nigeria

[6] Sunday Isaac **OGUNTUASE**,
Department of Business – Studies, Landmark University, Omu – Aran, Nigeria

[7]Olumuyiwa Oladapo **FASANMI,** PhD,
Entrepreneurial Studies Dept., Bamidele Olumilua Univ. of Education, Science &Tech.,
Ikere - Ekiti, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between HRM practices, employee welfare, and industrial stability in Nigeria, with a focus on how workplace policies shape employee wellbeing and labour relations. This is with the specific objectives to: *explore how human resource management practices are perceived and experienced in relation to employee welfare within Nigerian organizations; examine the role of employee welfare in shaping workplace relations and industrial stability in the Nigerian work environment; and investigate the organizational and institutional factors influencing the implementation of employee welfare policies in Nigeria. The study adopted a qualitative research design and an exploratory–descriptive approach. Data were generated through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis involving HR managers, employees, labour union representatives, and organizational administrators in selected organizations in Lagos State, Nigeria. Thematic analysis was used to identify and interpret recurring patterns relating to HRM practices, employee welfare provisions, and industrial relations outcomes. Findings indicate that effective HRM practices particularly in recruitment, training, compensation, performance management, and employee relations contribute positively to employee welfare and workplace harmony. Organizations with strong welfare systems tend to experience higher employee commitment, improved motivation, and reduced industrial conflict. Conversely, poor welfare implementation, delayed salaries, weak communication systems, and adverse economic conditions were found to contribute significantly to industrial unrest and*

declining employee morale. The study concludes that industrial stability in Nigeria is largely dependent on the effectiveness of HRM systems and the extent to which organizations prioritize employee welfare. Strengthening institutional frameworks, improving communication, and ensuring fair and consistent welfare practices are essential for promoting sustainable labour relations and organizational stability. It was then recommended that government should strengthen labour laws, enhance enforcement institutions, and ensure strict compliance with labour standards to promote worker welfare, fairness, and sustained industrial peace and productivity in the country.

Keywords: Employee Welfare; Industrial Stability; Human Resource Management; Labour Relations; and Workplace Harmony

INTRODUCTION

Human Resource Management, often abbreviated as HRM has increasingly become a key part of how organizations perform, and also how they remain sustainable across industry in both developed and developing settings. In Nigeria for instance, the workplace relations are getting more complex, labour expectations are rising, and economic uncertainty is still there, so the role of solid human resource practices keeps growing (Sołtysik et al., 2024; Sakib et al., 2025; Abdallah et al., 2026). This is because it helps organizations maintain employee welfare and also support industrial stability. HRM can be understood as the strategic handling of employees through things like recruitment, training, motivation, compensation, performance management, and employee relations, so organizational goals are met while workers' wellbeing is improved (Armstrong, 2020). When HRM works well, productivity tends to rise, workplace conflict is reduced, and industrial relations stay more peaceful.

Employee welfare is a major piece of HRM. It basically means the kinds of services, benefits, and job conditions provided to employees in order to improve their physical, mental, and social wellbeing. Welfare measures can include reasonable wages, healthcare services, safe working environments, pensions, housing assistance, training chances, as well as some level of job security (Yesufu, 2018). Research has indicated that companies which take employee welfare seriously usually record better employee commitment, stronger productivity, less frequent labour turnover, and fewer industrial disputes (Akinwale, 2021). On the other hand, when welfare is weak or neglected, employee dissatisfaction often grows, absenteeism becomes common, morale drops, and industrial unrest may follow.

Industrial stability is basically about having calm and agreeable ties between employers and employees, you know the kind where labour conflicts are less, communication actually works, and

the organization keeps running without too much interruption (Fajana, 2014; Uchendu et al., 2024; Henry & Moniz, 2025; Nanda, 2026). This stability matters a lot for economic growth, the confidence of investors, and the overall efficiency of firms. But in Nigeria, industrial relations have often been thrown off, like, by strikes, demonstrations, lockouts, and other disagreements, usually coming from low pay, late salaries, unsafe workplaces, and also because labour agreements are not implemented well (Okene, 2020). Also, as the International Labour Organization has said in their kind of recurring briefs, nations with weak labour welfare systems and workplace rules that do not really hold up tend to record more industrial conflict and, at the same time, lower productivity (ILO, 2023).

There is also statistical backing that makes employee welfare look like the core issue in industrial relations. For instance, data from the National Bureau of Statistics shows that from 2018 to 2023 Nigeria lost a significant number of man-hours in different sectors, including education, health care, and public administration. A good number of those industrial disruptions were linked to dissatisfaction with wages, weak working conditions, and welfare benefits that were either insufficient or poorly provided (NBS, 2023). In the same way, unemployment and inflation have continued to squeeze workers' day to day survival, and that pressure then tends to fuel stronger requests for better welfare arrangements and more reliable human resource strategies, policies that can actually stick (Dorling et al., 2024; Kotz, 2025; Mack, 2026).

Even with all the growing attention on HRM and labour relations, lots of organizations in Nigeria still run into issues around employee welfare systems that are not strong enough, communication paths that are kind of weak, and conflict-management moves that end up being ineffective. A lot of prior work has tended to concentrate on how well the organization performs and on employee productivity, but only a few qualitative pieces have truly looked at the connected way HRM practices, employee welfare, and industrial stability fit together. Because of that there's still a big problem in explaining how strategic human resource management can actually help Nigeria achieve steadier industrial harmony. So, this study, is set out to examine the relationship between Human Resource Management, employee welfare and industrial stability in Nigeria. Specifically, it seeks to find out how HRM practices shape employee wellbeing and promote workplace calmness, while also pointing out the institutional and organizational conditions that influence industrial stability in Nigerian workplaces.

Industrial instability remains a persistent challenge facing organization and labour relations in Nigeria, even though Human Resource Management (HRM) is now more and more seen as a strategic instrument for boosting employee wellbeing and organizational outputs. In both public and private sectors, organizations keep facing strikes, worker dissatisfaction, labour turnover, absenteeism, and persistent workplace disagreements that seem to stem from poor welfare arrangements, inadequate pay, weak channels of communication, and human resource practices that are not effective (Fajana, 2014; Armstrong, 2020). These realities have then created doubts about whether HRM policies can truly improve workers' wellbeing, and also keep industrial peace inside Nigerian work settings.

Employee welfare now looks like a major driver of workers' drive, loyalty, and performance. Still, the indications point to the fact that a lot of Nigerian organizations continue to function with substandard welfare conditions, such as late salaries, hazardous workplaces, insufficient health coverage, few or no clear career growth prospects, and thin social protection arrangements (Akinwale, 2021). For this reason, many employees respond with dissatisfaction through industrial actions, protests, and a decline in the level of commitment to their employer. The National Bureau of Statistics reports that Nigeria lost significant man-hours from 2018 to 2023 because of industrial disputes happening across sectors, including education, healthcare, and public administration (NBS, 2023). In the same way, the International Labour Organization also notes that poor employee welfare together with weak labour-management relationships continues to be a major root cause of industrial unrest in developing economies (ILO, 2023; Gupta, 2024; Parku, 2025; Ayi, 2026).

Also, the rising cost of living, unemployment, inflation, and general economic uncertainty in Nigeria have made workers push even harder for improved welfare packages and better working conditions (Obuka, 2025; Akinyemi & Asonye, 2026). Even though there are labour regulations and organizational HR policies in place, a lot of employers have still been criticized for not implementing welfare programmes properly and for weak, or rather limited, engagement with employees (Okene, 2020). As a result, trust between employers and employees has been eroded, and this has weakened industrial steadiness and also organizational performance. While many studies have looked at HRM practices, employee motivation, and organizational productivity, fewer qualitative works have really unpacked, in a connected way, how human resource management links with employee welfare and industrial stability within Nigeria. Studies like

Adagbabiri and Okolie (2020); Hassan (2014); Aiya et al. (2015); Eke et al. (2023); Akinwale and Jogunomi (2024) mostly concentrate on productivity results, instead of showing clearly how welfare-centered HRM practices can shape workplace peace and the day-to-day labour relations. This leaves a noticeable gap in knowledge, one that calls for deeper empirical, qualitative investigation. Therefore, this study intends to explore how Human Resource Management practices influence employee welfare and industrial stability in Nigeria, and at the same time to highlight the organizational and institutional hurdles that slow down effective labour - management relations.

The overall aim of this study is to explore the interconnection between human resource management, employee welfare, and industrial stability in Nigeria. Specifically, the study seeks to:

i. explore how human resource management practices are perceived and experienced in relation to employee welfare within Nigerian organizations;
ii. examine the role of employee welfare in shaping workplace relations and industrial stability in the Nigerian work environment; and
iii. investigate the organizational and institutional factors influencing the implementation of employee welfare policies in Nigeria.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This segment looks at related literature about Human Resource Management (HRM), employee welfare, and industrial stability in Nigeria. It aims at combining conceptual, theoretical, and empirical views that seem to show how HRM routines and welfare systems affect employee drives, organizational output, and also the steadier kind of workplace harmony. In other words, the review tries to set up a useful ground, so gaps in research can be noticed and the study can be placed properly within ongoing scholarly arguments about labour relations and organizational performance, you know, the kind that matter for workplaces.

The usefulness of the literature review is in how it brings together what people already know on HRM approaches and employee welfare arrangements, and then connects that to industrial stability. It also gives some conceptual breathing space on the main variables, and forms a theoretical basis for checking how routine workplace practices may shape employee relations and the results organizations get, especially in Nigeria.

Concept of Human Resource Management

Human Resource Management (HRM) is basically the strategic process of managing people so the organization and the employee can reach their objectives (Martins & Gomes, 2025). In practice, it covers recruitment, selection, training, compensation, performance appraisal, employee relations, and development, and all of that is aimed at boosting productivity and organizational effectiveness (Armstrong, 2020). HRM makes sure employees are used in the right ways, kept motivated, and retained, because they are kind of the main engines for organizational success. The scope of HRM doesn't stop at the usual administrative personnel duties, it also reaches strategic workforce planning, shaping organizational culture, labour relations, and employee wellbeing. In today's view, modern HRM treats employees as strategic assets, and their skills plus commitment are what determine competitiveness (Dessler, 2019).

If we look back, HRM started as traditional personnel management, which was more about paperwork and routine tasks like hiring and record keeping. Gradually, organizations moved toward a more strategic approach, where staff are viewed as essential resources for productivity and growth (Fajana, 2014). HRM matters because it contributes to employee commitment, better output, lower turnover, and improved industrial relations. When HRM systems are done well, they help organizations adapt faster, improve communication, and handle conflict in a calmer way, so they become important for sustainable organizational development, more so in developing economies like Nigeria (Akinwale, 2021).

Concept of Employee Welfare

Employee welfare can be understood as those services, benefits and work conditions that are put in place kind of arranged to raise workers wellbeing, their contentment, and over time even their output (Fang et al., 2026). Usually, it covers what the law requires plus other non-mandatory schemes, all focused on making employees' physical, psychological, social, and economic wellbeing better (Yesufu, 2018). In many discussions the welfare dimensions are described in a few ways. There is economic welfare for example wages pensions and bonuses, then physical welfare covering safety healthcare, and housing, and also social welfare which may include transport, recreation and counselling. Alongside these, the psychological side is addressed through stress management approaches and work life balance programmes (Fajana, 2014).

Welfare programmes are often grouped too, into statutory provisions that must be complied with under the law, and voluntary benefits that organizations choose to offer on their own (Armstrong, 2020). When employee welfare is handled well it tends to feed motivation, reduce absenteeism, limit turnover, and strengthen organizational commitment. But where welfare conditions become weak - like poor salaries, unsafe workplaces, and healthcare support that is not strong enough as this has been associated with industrial unrest and strikes in Nigeria (Okene, 2020).

Concept of Industrial Stability

Industrial stability is the sort of condition where labour relations stay calm and, well, kind of cooperative, with minimal clashes and ongoing organizational activities. In other words, it is a state that shows mutual understanding and uninterrupted operations (Adebayo, 2021). You can also see it through communication that works well, trust that grows, and conflict-resolution steps that actually hold up between employers and employees. The main signs that industrial stability is present include fewer strike occurrences, higher employee contentment, steady productivity, proper handling of grievances, and consistent observance of labour agreements (Yesufu, 2018). Industrial stability is also tied to productivity, because it tends to strengthen commitment, lessen stoppages, and lift how the organization performs overall. On the other hand, industrial instability usually brings about strikes, low morale, money drains, and a weaker public image for the organization (Fajana, 2014).

In Nigeria specifically, industrial instability is fuelled by welfare arrangements that feel inadequate, inflation pressure, unemployment, sluggish communication systems, salary delays, political interference, and labour institutions that are not functioning effectively (Okene, 2020). Together these issues keep eroding workplace peace and they also slow economic growth.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on Human Relations Theory, Social Exchange Theory and Systems Theory, together they kind a explain how HRM practices employee welfare and institutional interactions work out industrial stability in Nigeria. In other words, these theories offer a multi-dimensional view for grasping workplace conduct, employee drive and day to day organizational peace.

Human Relations Theory

Human Relations Theory, which was developed from the Hawthorne Studies by Elton Mayo, underscores human needs, social interaction, communication, and employee participation as drivers of productivity and overall organizational performance (Nuwanthika, 2026). It moves away from classical thinking by acknowledging that employees are not just output machines, they are social beings whose satisfaction and wellbeing strongly affect results. Under this theory, it is usually assumed that workers deliver better outputs when they are treated equitably, allowed to take part in decisions, and supported with workable working conditions. So, job satisfaction, teamwork, welfare support, and steady communication become necessary for motivation and stronger commitment (Drucker, 2017).

Its usefulness for this study is that it clarifies how employee welfare on its own can influence industrial stability. In Nigeria, limited welfare provisions, uncomfortable working environments, and shaky communication channels often feed low morale and fuel industrial disputes (Fajana, 2014). Therefore, the theory points to the idea that welfare-centered HRM practices can foster cooperation, and in the same stretch help reduce workplace conflict.

Social Exchange Theory

Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964) is kind of built around reciprocity and those mutual responsibilities people feel in relationships. It suggests employment ties can keep going, when employers on one hand, and employees on the other, both think things are fair and the exchange of benefits feels balanced. In real work settings, employees tend to show loyalty, commitment, and higher output when rewards, welfare assistance, and treatment are perceived as “enough” or appropriate. But when someone feels overlooked or treated unfairly, dissatisfaction comes up, and conflict too, Cropanzano & Mitchell (2005) basically points that out.

This view also matters for industrial calm because it clarifies how trust and returns favors like a sort of “give and take” make workplace relations hold together. In Nigeria, you can see the effect in problems such as late salary payments, weak welfare arrangements, and compensation that falls short of expectation. Those gaps erode employee dedication, and they feed industrial unrest (Akinwale, 2021). So, in the end, steady labor relations often rest on employer–employee exchanges that are both fair and reciprocal.

Systems Theory

Systems Theory sees organizations as things that are tied together, kind of interdependent parts moving toward one shared target (Bertalanffy, 1968). The core idea is that organizational performance does not just "happen", it relies on coordination, and also some kind of equilibrium across internal as well as external subsystems. So, in HRM, the theory goes further, it implies that recruitment, training, welfare handling, performance reviews, and employee relations have to work together in one coherent flow, not as separate tasks, if the organization wants to actually reach its objectives (Armstrong, 2020). Because of this, good HRM doesn't really stand alone, it depends on collaboration between managers, staff members, unions, and even regulatory bodies.

For industrial relations, Systems Theory basically points to stability as a result of how smoothly all stakeholders interact inside the labour system. For Nigeria specifically, weak coordination, unclear communication, and institutional bottlenecks tend to disturb workplace peace, and sometimes that ripple effect shows up pretty quickly (Yesufu, 2018). In that sense, the theory helps explain why HRM arrangements that are connected to each other can shape employee welfare and industrial steadiness at the same time.

Human Resource Management Practices and Employee Welfare

Human Resource Management (HRM) practices feel pretty central to improving employee welfare, and also the overall organizational effectiveness. They mostly end up influencing satisfaction, productivity and industrial harmony, maybe even more than people usually realize. In real practice, HRM functions like recruitment, training, compensation, performance monitoring, and workplace safety, kind of "touch" employee wellbeing and commitment in a straight way. For instance, recruitment and selection make it easier to bring in the right employees, based on merit, and also on fit with organizational needs, which in turn supports fairness and reduces the feeling of bias (Dessler, 2019). Then training and development focus on employee capability, output, and even what happens in their career path, so performance improves but welfare also follows (Armstrong, 2020).

Compensation systems - wages, bonuses, pensions and other incentives—stay crucial for employee welfare. When remuneration is perceived as fair, employees often remain committed and loyal. but if pay is weak, or if salary delays happen, it can easily trigger unrest and tension (Akinwale, 2021). Also, performance appraisal plus motivation mechanisms support welfare, because they

create room for recognition advancement, and extra learning prospects (Fajana, 2014). On top of that, workplace safety and wellbeing programmes, like healthcare assistance and protective measures, reduce health risks, lower absenteeism, and help avoid conflict, which finally supports smoother industrial relations (ILO, 2023).

Employee Welfare and Industrial Stability

Employee welfare, in a very real way, helps determine whether industrial stability will stay put or not, because it touches employee satisfaction directly, also their sense of commitment and how calm the workplace feels. When welfare provisions are properly set up like fair pay, healthcare, job security and career development, it generally lifts morale and makes people feel more loyal to the organization (Yesufu, 2018). When these welfare conditions get better, employees usually feel happier too since their living standards improve, and the everyday workplace stress reduces a lot (Akinwale, 2021). But if the welfare system is weak, for example unsafe environments, delayed salaries, or benefits that do not match what workers expect, then it often becomes a path to grievances, and later industrial unrest (Okene, 2020). For Nigeria, it is not surprising that many strikes connect back to weak welfare arrangements and strained labour-management relations, honestly.

On top of that, welfare policies tend to calm workplace conflict because they build trust and encourage cooperation between employers and employees. When workers feel respected, seen as important, they are usually more productive, and less likely to take disruptive actions (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005). So, overall, employee welfare matters a lot for keeping industrial peace, and also for holding organizational stability together, even when pressures increase.

Challenges Affecting HRM and Employee Welfare in Nigeria

HRM and employee welfare in Nigeria seem to be kinda held back by a set of persistent challenges, which really makes industrial stability shaky. For instance, welfare policies don’t get implemented properly in many places, mostly because managerial commitment is weak and corruption shows up, and that kind of thing erodes employee trust (Fajana, 2014). On top of that, economic instability and inflation reduce real pay, so employees start pushing harder for better welfare benefits, and this can quickly turn into labour unrest (NBS, 2023).

Also, weak organizational communication often leads to confusion, misunderstanding, low spirit, especially when staff are left out of decision-making, or they feel like they don't matter much (Dessler, 2019). Then there is the issue of funding that's not enough, plus managerial inefficiency, which jointly restrict the supply of training, workplace safety and other employee welfare services (Akinwale, 2021).

Finally, labour disputes keep coming up around wages, promotion, and working conditions. These disputes produce strikes and other industrial actions, and they often get worse when negotiation is poor, and mistrust keeps growing (Okene, 2020).

Institutional and Organizational Factors Influencing Industrial Stability

Industrial stability tends to be shaped by a bunch of institutional and organizational stuff, like government policies and trade union activities, plus leadership style, labour regulations, and workplace management. In this setup, human resource management (HRM) practices sit in the middle, because they influence employee welfare, and that welfare then spills over into the overall industrial stability inside the organization (Adebayo, 2021). So, when HRM is done well, with fair compensation, real employee involvement in decision-making, training and development, job security, occupational safety, and equitable treatment, it supports welfare directly (Yesufu, 2018; Armstrong, 2020). That support then shows up in workers feeling more satisfied, more driven, with higher trust and stronger commitment to the organization's targets. And when employees see that management is responsive to their needs, the chances of conflict, grievances, strikes, and that everyday workplace tension drop a lot, and that helps industrial harmony hold steady.

Government labour policies about wages, taxation, and labour standards, all together really help shape workplace relations and, in the process, they sustain industrial peace (Adebayo, 2021). In a similar way, trade unions show up as key actors in safeguarding employees' interests by way of collective bargaining, representation, plus advocacy. When HRM practices push for a more constructive engagement, and when management and trade unions cooperate rather than clash, industrial stability is more apt to hold, longer (Yesufu, 2018). Also, you can see how organizational culture, and the leadership style itself, affect how HRM policies are put into place and how employees end up experiencing them. Leadership that is participatory and employee centred usually builds trust, improves inclusion, and encourages cooperation, but authoritarian

management can erode employee welfare. Then workplace disputes get worse, and general dissatisfaction ramps up (Armstrong, 2020).

Moreover, labour laws and the regulatory bodies around them still act like major tools for guarding workers' rights and sorting out industrial disputes. But in Nigeria, weak enforcement of labour rules, a bunch of bureaucratic slowdowns, and political interference usually get in the way of these mechanisms, so in the end employee welfare protections get weaker, and industrial stability can feel threatened (Fajana, 2014). So, the way HRM practices blend with employee welfare, plus the way institutional structures are set up, stays pretty important if you want to really understand and keep industrial stability in Nigeria going.

Empirical Studies

Several empirical studies have looked at how Human Resource Management (HRM) links with employee welfare and industrial stability inside organizations, especially in developing places like Nigeria. The literature mostly signals that HRM practices matter, not just for productivity and general organizational results, but also for that kind of day-to-day workplace harmony where people can actually work without friction.

Akinwale (2021) found that employee welfare programmes such as fair compensation, healthcare benefits, and staff development can significantly raise employee commitment, improve productivity, lower absenteeism, and reduce labour turnover. But even so, the work seemed to lean more on organizational performance, while industrial stability and labour relations got less attention. In a similar way, Hassan (2014) reported that welfare initiatives like training, housing support, and healthcare services improved workers' motivation and efficiency in Nigeria's service sector, though it still gave limited attention to welfare effects that spill over into industrial harmony.

Adagbabiri and Okolie (2020) pointed out recruitment, training, compensation, and performance appraisal as major HRM practices that shape productivity in Nigeria's oil and gas area, yet the study kept its spotlight on efficiency results, instead of workplace steadiness. Eke, Beals, and Victory (2023) also noted that employee welfare has a positive influence on financial performance and retention in manufacturing firms, but their attention stayed mostly on financial measures rather than industrial peace. On the other hand, Okene (2020) emphasized that uncomfortable working conditions, delayed salaries, and the weak execution of labour agreements can meaningfully contribute to industrial unrest. The logic is that these issues slowly drain confidence between

employers and employees, and once that trust is gone, instability tends to follow. International evidence further supports this relationship, with the International Labour Organization (ILO, 2023) mentioning that welfare oriented HRM systems and participatory management can reduce industrial conflict and stress. Dessler (2019) also points out that wellbeing focused HRM improves organizational stability, and productivity as a kind of result.

Overall, despite these contributions, most existing empirical studies still lean heavily toward productivity and performance outcomes, with not much looking closely at how welfare oriented HRM practices affect industrial stability and labour relations. In addition, very few qualitative studies have actually explored the institutional dynamics that link HRM, employee welfare, and industrial harmony in Nigeria. So, there is a gap here, and that is what this study is addressing.

Gap in Literature

The reviewed literature points to big conceptual, empirical, methodological, and situational gaps when it comes to Human Resource Management (HRM), employee welfare, and industrial stability.

For the conceptual side, it seems that many earlier studies looked at HRM practices, employee welfare, and organizational performance like they were separate stories, not really connected as one workplace system. So, instead of showing how these elements move together, the works by Akinwale (2021), Hassan (2014), and Adagbabiri and Okolie (2020) mostly leaned toward productivity, commitment, and efficiency. They gave less focus to the way HRM practices can affect employee welfare, and then how employee welfare, in turn can shape industrial stability and labour relations. As a result, the interplay between these variables is still not fully explained. In this study, we try to close that gap by looking at HRM, employee welfare, and industrial stability as related dimensions of workplace relations in Nigeria, not just as isolated outcomes.

The empirical gap shows up since most existing studies focus on productivity, retention, motivation, and financial results, but they pay less direct attention to how welfare-oriented HRM practices actually play out in terms of industrial harmony, labour relations, and the reduction of conflict. Even though Okene (2020) and the International Labour Organization (ILO, 2023) already noted that weak welfare conditions tend to fuel industrial unrest, still, solid and comprehensive evidence that ties HRM directly with employee welfare and then links it to

industrial stability, stays somewhat thin. So, this study tries to work through this by looking at how HRM practices and employee welfare together shape industrial stability in Nigerian organizations.

Then there's the methodological gap, since prior work mostly leans on quantitative methods. Many studies relied on surveys and statistical analysis, but that approach can miss the employees lived experiences, and also the day-to-day labour-management dynamics that people feel. Because of that, the qualitative evidence that could explain how HRM, and welfare arrangements, affect industrial stability is still limited (Akinwale, 2021). This study tackles it with a qualitative approach, meant to deliver a richer view of what really happens at the workplace, and how institutional realities are understood on the ground. The contextual gap seems to show, the limited attention given to the Nigerian labour setting. A lot of earlier studies were carried out in developed economies, where labour institutions are stronger and welfare systems are more reliable, so their results dont really fit Nigeria's day to day realities. In Nigeria you still see economic instability, weaker institutional enforcement, and industrial disputes that keep coming back. This study tries to close that divide, by placing the inquiry directly in the Nigerian environment, and looking at how local institutional and organizational conditions affect HRM practices, employee well - being, and the overall steadiness of industrial relations.

METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the methodology that was used to look at the relationship between Human Resource Management, employee welfare, and industrial stability in Nigeria. It talks through the research design, the study population, the sampling methods, how data was collected, how the data was analyzed, and the ethical issues that guided everything along the way.

The study leaned on a qualitative research approach, mainly because the research aims needed a deeper understanding of employees' experiences, their perceptions and the day-to-day workplace realities connected to HRM practices, welfare provision, and labour relations. In a way, this approach also felt right for teasing out the social and institutional forces through which HRM practices affect employee welfare and how those welfare conditions later shape industrial stability in Nigeria. (Creswell, 2018).

Research Design

The study used an exploratory plus descriptive qualitative research design, but not in a strict "one-size-fits-all" sense. The exploratory part was to help uncover what kinds of factors shape employee

welfare and industrial stability, whereas the descriptive part was meant to outline organizational routines and labour-management interactions in selected organizations across Nigeria (Denzin & Lincoln, 2017). In other words, the qualitative design was seen as more fitting since the focus was on participants' experiences, their views, and what the institutions are really like, instead of relying on numerical analysis alone.

Study Area

The study happened in selected organizations located in Lagos State, Nigeria. Lagos State was selected due to its status as Nigeria's major commercial and industrial hub, and it also has a mix of organizations, active labour unions, and regular occurrences of industrial relations engagements. The workforce there is fast-moving, and the organizational setting is pretty active too, so it seems suitable for observing HRM practices, employee well-being arrangements, and industrial stability.

Scope of the Study

This study looks into the link between Human Resource Management (HRM), employee welfare and industrial stability in Nigeria. It sorts of centers on main HRM practices like recruitment, training, compensation, performance appraisal and employee relations, and then how these things affect employee welfare too plus workplace harmony, in a more practical way.

The research is set in Nigeria and it takes selected public and private organizations. The study draws understanding from HR managers, employees, and labour union representatives, in other words people that are really close to the day-to-day labour context. It also considers HRM practices, welfare programmes meant for workers, and signs that industrial stability is actually happening, for example workplace harmony, employee commitment, and labour relations. Method - wise the study leans on a qualitative approach, using interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis, so it can capture deeper and more context - based views about how HRM and welfare interact.

Study Population

The study population included Human Resource managers, employees, labour union officials, organizational administrators, and labour relations experts in selected organizations in Lagos State. These people were chosen because they are directly involved in employee welfare, labour relations, and broader organizational management processes.

Sampling Technique and Sample Size

Purposive and snowball sampling techniques was used. Purposive sampling made it possible to choose participants who had the right knowledge and hands on experience, while snowball sampling also enabled the process of finding other suitable respondents via referrals, (Patton, 2015). The final sample size was around 20–30 participants, this was judged enough for reaching data saturation in qualitative research, (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006).

Sources of Data

Primary Sources

Primary data were collected through semi structured interviews and focus group discussions, so the study could capture firsthand accounts about HRM practices employee welfare, and industrial stability.

Secondary Sources

Secondary data were drawn from journals, textbooks, government publications, labour reports, organizational documents and other academic or scholarly materials that were relevant.

Methods of Data Collection

Semi-Structured Interviews

Semi-structured interviews worked as the main avenue for primary data. Open ended questions were applied to elicit deeper views on welfare policies, workplace conflicts, organizational communication, and industrial relations practices. The adaptable nature of the interviews allowed participants to share their experiences and opinions, (Creswell, 2018)

Focus Group Discussions

Focus group discussions pushed for some interaction among participants and produced more than one viewpoint about employee welfare and industrial stability. In addition, these sessions helped surface repeated workplace realities and shared organizational challenges.

Document Analysis

Relevant organizational records, labour policy texts, employee handbooks, government reports, and collective agreements were examined. This review was intended to give institutional meaning and extra context for labour relations practices.

Instrument for Data Collection

The instruments that were used included an interview guide, focus group discussion guide and a document review checklist. They basically helped with a kind of systematic collection of useful information that had to do with HRM practices, employee welfare, and industrial stability, all together in one way or another.

Validity and Reliability/Trustworthiness

Trustworthiness was handled through credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability (Subrahmanyam, 2025). Credibility came from using triangulation of data sources plus participant validation, while dependability and confirmability were kept strong by sticking to proper documentation and doing objective interpretation of what was found, not just assumptions.

Method of Data Analysis

For the qualitative data, thematic analysis was the option chosen. Interview transcripts, focus group discussions, and the reviewed documents were coded then sorted into themes, largely based on repeated patterns touching employee welfare and industrial stability (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles like informed consent, confidentiality, anonymity, and voluntary participation were followed throughout the study. Participants were told clearly about the purpose of the study and were assured that any information gathered would be used only for academic purposes, no other things.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This part lays out and tries to talk through what the research actually found about Human Resource Management, employee welfare and industrial stability in Nigeria. The results are sort of arranged based on the study objectives, and also the themes that came out from interviews, focus group discussions, and the document review. In the same breath, the discussion connects these findings back to prior studies in the literature, and also the relevant theories that were used as a guide.

Demographic Information of Participants

The people involved were Human Resource managers, employees, labour union officials, organizational administrators, and labour relations experts from a mix of selected public and private organizations in Lagos State, Nigeria. A large number of respondents had good, and

sometimes considerable, experience in labour relations and organizational management, so it added weight to the credibility of what was reported.

Presentation of Findings

The findings are laid out under the main themes that were developed from patterns noticed during the interviews, focus group discussions and also the document review. Those themes capture what participants said, their lived experiences and how they understood HRM practices, employee welfare, and industrial stability in general.

Theme One: Human Resource Management Practices in Nigerian Organizations

The findings showed that HRM practices aren't really the same across organizations, especially when it comes to recruitment, training, compensation, and performance management. In some places, recruitment seems more open, like you can see what's happening. But in other organizations participants said the process gets swayed by favoritism, and there's also this outside interference that nobody fully controls.

At the same time, participants talked about training and development programmes. They said those programmes help build employee competence, even so, inadequate funding comes up a lot, and it basically restricts the number of opportunities people can actually get. For compensation and reward systems, these were described as a major driver behind morale and commitment, and employees said they feel better when salaries and incentives are delivered regularly. Participants also stressed that communication that works, recognition, and promotion opportunities raise motivation and help reduce workplace tension, more or less.

Theme Two: Employee Welfare Practices and Workers' Wellbeing

The findings also revealed that employee welfare has a strong effect on workers' wellbeing and job satisfaction. Welfare provisions like healthcare services, transport support, pensions, workplace safety, and housing allowances were mentioned as key measures. Participants noted that organizations with solid welfare programmes tend to have stronger employee commitment, and fewer workplace conflicts, but not everyone agreed. Some respondents complained about poor welfare provisions, unsafe working conditions, and healthcare support that just isn't enough.

Job security and career development opportunities were also found to support employee morale and organizational loyalty, and yeah overall it seemed like when those areas are handled well, workers stay more settled.

Theme Three: Employee Welfare and Industrial Stability

Findings suggested there is a pretty strong linkage between employee welfare, and overall industrial stability. Most participants seemed to agree that when welfare policies are working well, they help create workplace harmony, and also push for better commitment among employees, which in turn boosts productivity. Organizations that run welfare systems in a fair, consistent way were said to see fewer industrial disputes, plus a more cooperative labour management relation. On the other hand, if welfare conditions are weak, like salary delays, inadequate compensation, and also poor communication, participants pointed to those as key reasons for industrial unrest. They also mentioned that welfare-focused HRM approaches can lower absenteeism, and help lock in stronger organizational stability.

Theme Four: Challenges Affecting Human Resource Management and Industrial Stability

A number of challenges were identified that seem to affect both HRM, and industrial stability. Poor implementation of welfare policies stood out as a major concern, and it was tied to financial constraints as well as managerial inefficiency, not in a small way. Economic instability, including inflation, and rising living costs, was also described as a factor that grows employee dissatisfaction and increases organizational pressure. In addition, gaps in communication between management and employees were noted as a cause for misunderstandings, and these misunderstandings can easily turn into workplace conflict. Labour-management disagreements, and union-related disputes, were also said to keep disrupting industrial harmony in several organizations.

Theme Five: Institutional and Organizational Factors Influencing Industrial Stability

The findings showed that organizational leadership plays a rather important role in maintaining industrial stability, especially when day to day workplace issues start piling up. Participatory leadership styles were found to encourage communication, fairness and also conflict resolution, which is not a small thing in practice. On the other hand, government labour policies and labour laws also influence workplace relations, although weak enforcement limits how effective they really can be.

Trade unions were identified as important institutions for protecting workers' rights, and for supporting collective bargaining. Meanwhile, organizational culture and internal conflict management systems were found to influence industrial harmony quite a lot, sometimes more than people expect.

Discussion of Findings

The findings support Human Relations Theory, which highlights that employee welfare, motivation, and positive workplace relationships help improve organizational performance and cooperation. In the same direction, the findings line up with Social Exchange Theory, which basically says employees tend to reciprocate favourable treatment through commitment and loyalty (Iqbal et al., 2026).

Similarly, the findings back Systems Theory too, in the sense that organizations are seen as interconnected systems where stability depends on effective interaction among management, employees, and institutional structures (Skelton & Pais, 2025). So, overall, the findings reinforce what many earlier studies have suggested, that poor welfare policies weak implementation, and general economic pressures contribute heavily to industrial instability in Nigeria.

Implications of the Findings

The findings suggest that organizations should, more or less, strengthen recruitment transparency, employee motivation, welfare programmes, and their communication systems so as to improve workplace harmony, and overall productivity. The study also seems to underline the need for better healthcare, clearer job security, meaningful career development, and stronger workplace safety policies, so employee commitment can grow and industrial disputes can be reduced. Also, it appears that effective labour - relations, participatory leadership, along with stronger institutional support, are key for keeping industrial stability steady and supporting organizational performance in Nigeria.

Summary of Findings

The study looked at how HRM practices and employee welfare affect industrial stability within Nigerian organizations. This section reviewed what came out from the qualitative data analysis involving Human Resource Management, employee welfare, and industrial stability in Nigeria. The findings showed that welfare policies that actually work well, fair treatment, positive labour -

relations, and stronger leadership help build workplace harmony and employee commitment. Meanwhile, poor welfare implementation, economic pressures, and communication gaps can lead to industrial instability, which is sort of the opposite effect.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The study looked at how HRM practices and employee welfare kind of affect industrial stability in Nigerian organizations, and I guess it is concluded that when HRM practices are really effective and employee welfare is taken seriously, then sustainable industrial stability in Nigeria becomes more achievable. Organizations that put a priority on employee wellbeing, fair pay and compensation, relevant training, and even participatory management tend to see better productivity, stronger employee commitment, and more industrial peace. On the other side, weak welfare systems, poor communication, and labour relations that do not work well are linked to workplace unrest and also to lower organizational performance. So, the study kind of establishes that industrial stability largely depends on whether organizations and institutions can keep HRM systems that are fair, inclusive, and responsive, not just on paper but in actual user.

Recommendations

Following the results that came out in the study, it can be suggested that:

Organizational Recommendations

i. Organizations ought to bolster welfare minded Human Resource Management (HRM) practices by keeping recruitment transparent, running staff training on a steady basis, using rewards that are linked to performance, and involving employees in decision making, so that employee commitment rises and everyday workplace cooperation stays smooth.

ii. Employers should boost employee welfare through competitive compensation, better healthcare support, more secure working conditions, and a well -defined path for career progression. These welfare policies should be applied in a consistent way and with clear transparency, to reduce that kind of workplace dissatisfaction that tends to build up.

iii. Organizations should encourage healthier labour - management relations with regular collective bargaining, ongoing structured dialogue and practical systems for grievances and

dispute resolution. This is needed to keep workplace peace, and at the same time reduce industrial conflicts.

Policy Recommendations

iv. The Federal Ministry of Labour and Employment, the National Industrial Court and the relevant regulatory agencies should really tighten up enforcement of labour laws, welfare standards, and workplace safety regulations, by doing frequent monitoring along with practical compliance checks. This way violations don't linger and workplaces stay safer.

v. Government, labour unions and employers' associations should push for fair labour policies, reliable social protection, and ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, so that working conditions keep improving and industrial stability in Nigeria stays intact.

Contribution to Knowledge

This study adds to the existing literature by tackling a kind of missing link, between Human Resource Management (HRM), employee welfare, and industrial stability in developing economies, especially Nigeria. Earlier works mostly zoomed in on organizational performance, output, and employee commitment. But here the focus is a bit different, we extend HRM and industrial relations knowledge by showing how welfare-oriented HRM practices can shape workplace calmness, labour relations, and industrial stability in the Nigerian work environment. So, the study contributes in a theoretical sense by weaving HRM, employee welfare, and industrial stability together as connected pieces for analyzing organizational and labour relations in a developing economy.

On the practical side, the results give managers, HR practitioners, policymakers, trade unions, and labour regulatory bodies some evidence-based directions on why welfare-centered HRM practices matter, not just for employee wellbeing but also for lowering workplace disagreements, and maintaining steady industrial harmony. The study also feeds into ongoing policy talks around labour reforms, employee welfare standards, and long-term sustainable workplace relations in Nigeria.

Suggestions for Further Studies

Future studies should tackle the weak points of this qualitative study, by using mixed-method approaches so you get both deep and more broadly applicable evidence on HRM, employee welfare, and industrial stability. Comparative studies across sectors and also between Nigeria and

other developing economies should be done, to sharpen the contextual understanding of labour relations for the reason that the setting really matters, right.

Also, longitudinal studies ought to be carried out, to see how shifts in HRM practices, welfare policies, and economic conditions play out over time in relation to industrial stability. Future work should keep looking into newer concerns like remote work, digital HRM systems, technological change and the gig economy, especially on how these things affect employee welfare, and labour relations in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

Abdallah, A., Yunfei, S., Opoku-Mensah, E., Ankrah, S. T., & Tuffour, P. (2026). From green human resource management to sustainability: Examining direct and configurational mechanisms through the componential theory of creativity and psychological safety. *Creativity and Innovation Management, 35*(1), 60-83.

Adagbabiri, M. M., & Okolie, U. C. (2020). Human resource management practices and organizational performance in Nigeria's oil and gas sector. *Journal of African Business Studies, 15*(2), 45–62.

Adebayo, A. (2021). *Industrial relations and labour stability in developing economies*. Lagos University Press.

Akinwale, A. A. (2021). Employee welfare and organizational performance in Nigerian workplaces. *Nigerian Journal of Industrial Relations, 12*(1), 33–52.

Akinyemi, S. O., & Asonye, B. O. (2026). Effect of inconsistent economic policy on small and medium enterprises in Sub - Saharan Africa. *TWIST, 21*(1), 234-242.

Armstrong, M. (2020). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (15th ed.). Kogan Page.

Ayi, O. J., Egwu, G. E., Ikoh, J. S., & Shantali, M. J. (2026). Feasibility of collective agreement and industrial democracy: Implication on labour relations.

Bertalanffy, L. von. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. George Braziller.

Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101.

Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.

Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management, 31*(6), 874–900.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2017). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. Sage.

Dessler, G. (2019). *Human resource management* (15th ed.). Pearson.

Dorling, D., Wilkinson, R., & Pickett, K. (2024). Act now: A vision for a better future and a new social contract.

Drucker, P. (2017). *Management: Tasks, responsibilities, practices*. Routledge.

Eke, O. A., Beals, A., & Victory, S. (2023). Employee welfare and financial performance of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *African Journal of Management Studies, 18*(3), 101–119.

Fajana, S. (2014). *Industrial relations in Nigeria: Theory and practice*. Labofin & Company.

Fang, Y., Veenhoven, R., & Burger, M. J. (2026). Happiness and productivity: a research synthesis using online findings archive. *Management Review Quarterly*, *76*(1), 691-728.

Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? *Field Methods, 18*(1), 59–82.

Gupta, D. R. (2024). Legal, ethical, and risk issues in human resources. In *Effective Human Resources Management in the Multigenerational Workplace* (pp. 51-83). IGI Global.

Hassan, R. (2014). Employee welfare programmes and labour productivity in Nigeria's service sector. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 5*(9), 112–120.

Henry, J., & Moniz, R. (2025). *Fostering a Civil Workplace: Strategies for Personal and Organizational Success*. Taylor & Francis.

International Labour Organization (ILO). (2023). *Global employment and social outlook*. ILO.

Iqbal, J., Lodhi, K., Fauzi, M. A., Shipra, & Padhi, A. (2026). Impact of psychological contract fulfillment on frontline employee's in-role and extra-role job performance: role of employee empowerment. In *Evidence-based HRM: a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship* (1-16). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Kotz, D. M. (2025). *Socialism for Today: Escaping the Cruelties of Capitalism*. John Wiley & Sons.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.

Mack, J. (2026). *Impoverished: How to fix Britain's poverty problem*. Policy Press.

Martins, D., & Gomes, J. F. (2025). Human resource management in extreme contexts: Coping with disruption in the first six months of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 1-24.

Nanda, A. K. (2026). Building Resilience in Zillennial: Hypnotherapeutic Strategies for Stress Management, Authenticity, and Workplace Relationships. In *Transformative Role of Hypnotherapy for Business Professionals* (pp. 261-294). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2023). *Labour market and industrial relations report*. NBS Nigeria.

Nuwanthika, A. N. (2026). Employee Motivation and Personality as Drivers of Performance: A Comparative Study of Public and Private Organizations in Gampaha District.

Obuka, U. (2025). Assessing the impact of migration on nigeria's socio-economic growth. *KWASU Law Journal*, *4*(1).

Okene, O. V. C. (2020). Labour relations and industrial conflicts in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Labour Studies, 8*(2), 55–74.

Parku, K. E. K. E. (2025). *Influence of Labour Relations on Organisational Performance of Selected Public Sector Institutions in Ghana* (Master's thesis, University of South Africa (South Africa)).

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage.

Sakib, M. N., Uddin, M. A., Kona, T. A., Sharmin, K., Rahman, M. M., & Sahabuddin, M. (2025). A twenty-two-year journey of sustainable human resource management research: bibliometric analysis. *Future Business Journal*, *11*(1), 187.

Skelton, M., & Pais, M. (2025). *Team Topologies: Organizing Business and Technology for Fast Flow of Value*. Simon and Schuster.

Sołtysik, M., Tyrańska, M., Piwowar-Sulej, K., & Agustina, T. S. (Eds.). (2024). *Sustainable human resource management: Strategy, organizational innovation and leadership in industry 5.0*. Taylor & Francis.

Subrahmanyam, S. (2025). Trustworthiness in qualitative research in the aviation industry. In *Qualitative Research Methods in Air Transport Management* (123-152). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Uchendu, O., Omomo, K. O., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Strengthening workforce stability by mediating labor disputes successfully. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, *20*(11), 98-1010.

Yesufu, T. M. (2018). *The dynamics of industrial relations in Nigeria*. University of Ibadan Press.